

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,200	12/21/2001	Kristy Peterson	17391	8445
23556 759	90 10/23/2003		EXAMINER	
	CLARK WORLDWID	REDDING, DAVID A		
401 NORTH LA NEENAH, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
iveelinii, wi	31,550		1744	11
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				# }			
	Application	1 N .	Applicant(s)				
•	10/026,200		PETERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	David A Re		1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will e cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		. .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Th							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	n. ,	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u> </u> ap	proved b)⊡ disappro	oved by the Examiner	•			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	•			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional ap	plication has been red	ceived.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>4-7,9,10</u> .		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO				

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, are drawn to a tool, classified in class 435, subclass 309.1.
 - II. Claims 8-19, are drawn to a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 810.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the diagnostic system does not require a second end shaped as a truncated crescent. The subcombination has separate utility such as a dental tool or genitourinary test instrument.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Letson on 10/20/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 8-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1744

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 4,641,662 (Jaicks) in view of of USP 3,828,765 (McDonald).

The Jaicks patent discloses an endocervical sampling tool which comprises a sampling end (20) which anticipates the claimed "second end". The US patent to McDonald also discloses an endocervical sampling tool with a urethral head (22) which reads on the claimed first end. It would have been obvious to one skilled in th art to interchange the handle end (18) of Jaicks with the urethral head (22) in view of the disclosed use of endocervical sampling.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-7 are allowable because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the specimen handling tool having a first end and second ends as claimed.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Redding whose telephone number is 703-308-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

David Robbis

3910.

DAVID A. REDDING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

900 gray 3000

D.A.R.